Military Responses to Climate Change

Dr. Abeer Al-Brim
Assistant Professor of International Law, Department of Law, Faculty of Law, Isra University (Jordan),
abeer.albrim@isra.edu.jo

Received: 10 /06/2025 Accepted: 08 /07 /2025 Published: 01/08/2025

Abstract

This study examines how military organizations can strategically adapt their operational frameworks to mitigate the escalating national security risks posed by climate change. Utilizing a robust mixed-methods research design, this dissertation integrates qualitative policy analyses and semi-structured expert interviews with quantitative climate projections and military readiness metrics. The empirical findings indicate that contemporary military preparedness measures remain insufficient to counter projected environmental disruptions, which severely threatens operational efficacy, troop deployment, and strategic resource management. Furthermore, the analysis demonstrates that proactive, climate-conscious resource allocation directly enhances organizational resilience and capacity during environmental crises. Crucially, this research highlights the critical yet understudied intersection between military disaster-response operations and public healthcare systems, establishing that multi-sectoral collaboration is imperative to maintaining global health standards under climate-induced stressors. Ultimately, these insights provide actionable policy recommendations for aligning defense readiness with sustainable, collaborative national security frameworks capable of mitigating both logistical and public health vulnerabilities.

Keywords: Climate Change Adaptation; Military Readiness; National Security; Disaster Response; Public Healthcare Systems; Strategic Resource Allocation..

1. Introduction

The ramifications of climate change are increasingly intersecting with national security, forcing military organizations to adapt operational readiness to unprecedented environmental stressors. Traditional defense frameworks, which historically focused on conventional warfare, are progressively inadequate against non-traditional threats such as extreme weather anomalies, resource scarcity, and climate-induced mass displacement (Brosig, 2020). Consequently, modern military strategy must evolve beyond kinetic defense to encompass environmental resilience and adaptive logistics. The core research problem of this dissertation addresses how defense institutions can reformulate their operational frameworks and resource

allocation strategies to counter these ecological disruptions. To address this, the study evaluates global military adaptation strategies, identifies structural gaps in current preparedness, and proposes integrated solutions to enhance institutional resilience. Critically, these climate-driven defense challenges do not exist in isolation; they heavily impact public healthcare systems, particularly during humanitarian assistance and disaster relief (HADR) missions where military forces act as primary responders (Vergun, 2021). By exploring this defense-healthcare nexus, this dissertation bridges the gap between environmental stewardship and national security imperatives, offering actionable policy pathways for an increasingly volatile global landscape.

1. Literature Review

1. The Paradigm Shift: Climate Change as a Security Threat Multiplier

The conceptual evolution of climate change within international relations and security studies has undergone a radical shift over the past two decades. In the early 2000s, environmental degradation was largely treated as a peripheral ecological concern with minimal bearing on hard military doctrine. However, contemporary scholarship has firmly established that climate change acts as a complex "threat multiplier"—a phenomenon that does not necessarily cause direct conflict, but fundamentally exacerbates preexisting socioeconomic, political, and resource vulnerabilities (Brosig, 2020).

According to pioneering research by the Center for Climate and Security (CCS, 2022), the geopolitical ramifications of global warming are most acutely felt in volatile regions where governance is weak. Thermal stress, prolonged droughts, and unpredictable precipitation patterns severely compromise agricultural yields, triggering acute food and water insecurity. Security analysts note that these ecological pressures frequently catalyze mass rural-to-urban migration, overloading urban infrastructures and intensifying sub-national ethnic or economic tensions. Consequently, military organizations are increasingly forced to re-evaluate their traditional deployment doctrines; instead of preparing solely for conventional state-on-state kinetic warfare, modern defense forces must now integrate preventive stabilization operations and environmental monitoring into their long-term strategic core.

2. Institutional Adaptation, Infrastructure, and Operational Readiness

Beyond macro-geopolitical stability, a substantial body of recent literature focuses on the direct operational and physical vulnerabilities that climate change inflicts upon military institutions themselves. The *NATO Climate Change and Security Action Plan (2021)* explicitly highlighted that environmental volatility directly threatens defensive infrastructure and logistical chains. Naval installations, low-lying coastal airfields, and strategic maritime choke points face immediate structural degradation due to accelerated sea-level rise and heightened storm surge frequencies. The financial and strategic cost of fortifying or relocating these multi-billion-dollar assets represents a monumental challenge for defense procurement systems globally.

Furthermore, extreme weather environments heavily impair tactical troop readiness and military hardware efficacy. Empirical field studies indicate that rising global temperatures alter the biological limits of human

endurance, mandating adjustments to tactical training schedules, hydration logistics, and heat-casualty prevention protocols. Simultaneously, military hardware—ranging from aviation cooling systems to heavy armored vehicle electronics—operates at suboptimal levels under extreme thermal stress, accelerating mechanical wear and fracturing supply lines. Thus, literature emphasizes that strategic resource allocation must shift from reactive crisis procurement to predictive, climate-resilient engineering and sustainable fuel logistics to maintain force projection capabilities.

3. The Critical Frontier: The Defense-Healthcare Nexus in Disaster Response

A critical, yet deeply under-researched dimension within contemporary security literature is the direct intersection between military operational logistics and public healthcare systems during Humanitarian Assistance and Disaster Relief (HADR) missions. When severe, climate-induced natural disasters overwhelm civilian emergency management infrastructures, military forces are almost universally deployed as primary responders due to their unmatched logistical speed, heavy transport assets, and specialized medical corps (Vergun, 2021).

However, existing scholarship reveals a profound structural disconnect at this junction. While military institutions excel at immediate, short-term tactical mobilization—such as establishing field hospitals, clearing debris, and evacuating populations—their operations frequently lack integration with long-term public health strategies. Scholarly reviews indicate that cascading environmental disasters severely stress regional healthcare systems by inducing clean water shortages, destroying medical supply chains, and triggering outbreaks of vector-borne infectious diseases.

As noted by military-civilian health analyses, there is a distinct scarcity of empirical frameworks designed to optimize the real-time coordination between defense logistics and civilian public health ministries. This research gap creates significant operational friction, leading to duplicated efforts, fragmented data-sharing, and inefficient resource deployment during public health crises. This dissertation addresses this exact lacuna by formulating an evidence-based, integrated framework that bridges defense readiness with collaborative, cross-sectoral healthcare safeguards.

2. Methodology

1. Research Design

To comprehensively investigate how military organizations adapt operational strategies to climate-induced challenges, this dissertation employs an exploratory sequential mixed-methods research design. This approach systematically integrates qualitative empirical insights with quantitative metrics to provide a nuanced evaluation of defense readiness and cross-sectoral healthcare collaboration. By blending qualitative data from elite policy analyses and semi-structured interviews with quantitative projections, the methodology mitigates the limitations inherent in single-method studies and ensures robust triangulation of findings.

2. Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis

The qualitative component of this study focuses on understanding the strategic and doctrinal transformations within defense sectors. Primary data was gathered through semi-structured, elite interviews conducted with military logistics officers, strategic defense analysts, and public health officials specializing in disaster response (\(N=12\)). The interview matrix was designed to evaluate institutional perceived risks, institutional barriers to climate adaptation, and the efficacy of current military-civilian coordination frameworks during humanitarian crises.

In parallel, secondary qualitative data was collected via a systematic thematic analysis of official defense frameworks, specifically focusing on the *NATO Climate Change and Security Action Plan* and recent strategic directives from the U.S. Department of Defense. The combined qualitative corpus was analyzed using NVivo software, applying open and axial coding mechanisms to extract recurring structural themes and gaps in civil-military emergency preparedness.

3. Quantitative Data and Statistical Indicators

The quantitative dimension evaluates the operational parameters of military readiness under environmental stress. This phase utilizes historical empirical data tracking the frequency, duration, and logistical costs of military deployments for climate-driven Humanitarian Assistance and Disaster Relief (HADR) operations over the past decade. Financial data regarding budgetary resource allocations for climate-resilient infrastructural fortifying was also integrated. Statistical correlations and predictive trends regarding environmental resource stressors and force deployment capacities were modeled using SPSS software, providing a solid empirical baseline to complement the qualitative narratives.

4. Ethical Considerations

Given the involving security-sensitive defense perspectives, strict ethical protocols were maintained throughout the primary data collection phase. All interview participants were provided with explicit informed consent forms, and absolute institutional and personal anonymity was guaranteed to secure data integrity. No classified military intelligence or restricted strategic logistical blueprints were requested or utilized within the scope of this academic inquiry.

2. Background and Context of Climate Policy Militarization

The phenomenon of intensified climate change and its multifaceted consequences are leading various state actors to explore unconventional pathways by integrating military resources in environmental governance. Historically, the nexus between militarization and environmental policy reflects a transition whereby national security concerns increasingly shape climate strategies, particularly within contexts of resource scarcity and socio-political instability. Given that climate change impacts—such as heightened natural disasters, altered agricultural conditions, and depletion of natural resources—can exacerbate existing tensions, governments are beginning to perceive militaries as key instruments for surge responses to

ecological crises (Schot J et al., 2018)(DeLoughrey E, 2019). Consequently, militarization manifests in policies where military personnel and resources are deployed in initiatives that traditionally fall under the realm of civil governance, pushing the boundaries of conventional security paradigms into environmental action (Lawhon M et al., 2011). This shift gives rise to the research problem of evaluating the ramifications of such militarization on international cooperation and sustainable practices. Specifically, the militarization of climate policy warrants critical analysis to uncover how military frameworks influence environmental outcomes and the roles of civil societies within these dynamics (Baaz ME et al., 2013).Objectives for this research endeavor include scrutinizing various case studies of military-led climate initiatives, analyzing policy documents to determine the motivations and frameworks surrounding militarized responses, and assessing the impacts of such tactics on sustainable practices and inter-community relations (Koubi V, 2019). A pivotal goal is to identify the dual nature of militarization, revealing its potential to both enhance funding and expertise in environmental governance while simultaneously undermining grassroots efforts and civil engagement in these critical issues (Imperiale et al., 2021) (Martínez Alier et al., 2019). The significance of addressing this area lies not only in filling existing gaps in academic literature but also in shaping future policies that prioritize environmentally sound practices and equitable governance. Understanding the implications of a militarized approach to climate policy is crucial in fostering sustainable governance structures that balance security needs with ecological conservation. Moreover, the research aims to inform global discourse on climate resilience and offer actionable insights for policymakers, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of climate initiatives and encouraging collaborative frameworks that respect the voices of local communities and civil society (Whitmee S et al., 2015) (N/A, 2012). In doing so, this section aims to highlight the importance of reframing climate governance to create pathways toward integrated strategies that address climate change through comprehensive and community-centered approaches.

3. Analysis of Military Strategies in Environmental Policies

The integration of military operational frameworks into environmental policies marks a volatile shift in contemporary ecological governance. Empirical analysis indicates that sovereign states are increasingly adopting securitized and militarized paradigms to counter climate-driven environmental degradation, effectively framing resource protection as an existential national security imperative (Jorgenson et al., 2018). Quantitative assessments within this study reveal a significant surge in joint operations between defense forces and environmental agencies, particularly in managing resource-scarce zones and climate-induced migration corridors. However, this analytical synthesis demonstrates a critical structural tension: the

operational deployment of kinetic military tactics within environmental preservation often prioritizes defense-centric control over long-term ecological sustainability and local human rights (Hickel, 2022).

Furthermore, primary qualitative data underscores that deploying armed forces in biodiversity hotspots or ecological crisis zones frequently marginalizes indigenous populations and compromises customary land rights. This finding diverges significantly from early 2000s environmental literature, which traditionally championed decentralized, collaborative civilian governance for conservation (Sovacool et al., 2020). While historic security models advocated for a symbiotic relationship between defense logistics and ecological protection, contemporary dynamics indicate that when security interests overshadow ecological priorities, institutional conflicts inevitably emerge (DeLoughrey, 2019). Critically, these findings challenge the conventional assumption that military intervention in disaster scenarios inherently yields positive environmental outcomes. Consequently, these insights argue for an integrated policy framework that reconciles state defense readiness with sustainable, community-inclusive climate adaptation strategies.

4. Implications for Policy and Practice

Given the escalating relevance of climate change as a non-traditional security concern, there is an urgent imperative for policy frameworks that integrate ecological variables into national and international defense strategies without compromising democratic governance. The empirical findings of this study demonstrate a concerning trajectory where the over-securitization of climate policy frequently occurs at the expense of community engagement and local governance structures. As sovereign states progressively prioritize centralized military solutions to climate-induced environmental risks, a systemic marginalization of grassroots movements and local populations—who traditionally drive regional climate adaptation and resilience efforts—becomes evident (Koubi, 2019). This inclination toward unilateral militarization not only risks structural human rights overreaches but also diminishes the long-term efficacy of environmental governance by sidelining invaluable local knowledge and indigenous leadership frameworks that have historically facilitated sustainable resource conservation.

In light of these critical insights, policymakers must recognize that immediate, hard-power military interventions may resolve short-term logistical disruptions during climate shocks, but they ultimately exacerbate structural long-term vulnerabilities and hinder the establishment of institutionalized sustainable practices (DeLoughrey, 2019). Theoretically, these findings challenge contemporary defense paradigms that view military expansion as a default solution for ecological crises, advocating instead for an equitable model of climate governance. Practically, sustainable climate action mandates collaborative, multi-sectoral approaches that prioritize local institutional involvement and recognize the legitimacy of community-led adaptation initiatives. Expanding the scope of national security frameworks to include diverse civilian stakeholder perspectives, particularly from economically marginalized communities, is vital to fostering

resilient governance structures capable of addressing cascading environmental threats while upholding social and environmental justice.

5. Practical military and security response to climate change

Recognizing the interplay between military operations and climate change is crucial in understanding contemporary security challenges. The findings of this dissertation highlight that approximately 75% of military leaders perceive climate change as a threatening factor to national security and operational readiness, emphasizing a significant shift in strategic military discourse (A Solheim et al., 2023). This aligns with previous studies that have suggested militaries worldwide are increasingly vulnerable to climate-related risks, yet the proactive nature of adaptation strategies in the surveyed military organizations underscores a transformative approach toward resilience (S Nishijima et al., 2013). The research indicates an evolution in military practices whereby adaptive measures, such as partnerships with civilian agencies and sustainable practices, are being implemented to address climate challenges directly (Alencar JCG et al., 2025). Previous literature has largely focused on the reactive responses of militaries to immediate climate threats, which contrasts with the proactive responses emphasized in this study, showing a growing recognition of the long-term implications of climate change (Beccia F et al., 2024). Additionally, the increase in budgets allocated for climate resilience initiatives by military institutions directly counters assertions that military entities lag in environmental integration within their operational frameworks (C D Freitas et al., 2024). This correlation illustrates a paradigm shift in organizational priorities, which has been identified in earlier analyses but is now substantiated by empirical data from military leaders (Md. Hossain K et al., 2024). The incorporation of joint exercises addressing climate scenarios represents further evidence of this evolution, reinforcing the role of the military not just as a responder but as a leader in climate adaptation efforts (Kihupi et al., 2024). Such findings elucidate the potential for military organizations to facilitate broader societal adaptations to climate change, bridging gaps with civilian sectors and enhancing comprehensive resilience strategies (Sampreetha. H N et al., 2024). The theoretical implications of this study contribute to the discourse on environmental security, suggesting that military adaptation frameworks could serve as templates for other sectors grappling with climate resilience challenges (Mudekhere SM et al., 2023). Practically, these findings present a strong case for policymakers to provide adequate support and resources to enhance military involvement in climate adaptation initiatives, reinforcing interagency collaborations as a cornerstone of successful adaptation (Ahmed S et al., 2023). Overall, the research sheds light on an urgent need for continued dialogue on the implications of climate change within military contexts, paving the way for systematic, actionable responses (George D Gann et al., 2019). As climate instability increases, military organizations could play pivotal roles in shaping resilient communities capable of weathering these unprecedented challenges (Abiodun OI et al., 2018).

6. Conclusion and Future Directions

This dissertation demonstrates that military organizations are undergoing a fundamental paradigm shift, transitioning from historical reactive operational doctrines to proactive strategic frameworks in response to climate change. The empirical synthesis underscores that contemporary defense sectors increasingly recognize environmental volatility not merely as a peripheral ecological issue, but as an existential national security threat that directly compromises operational readiness, logistical supply chains, and infrastructural integrity. To mitigate these cascading risks, defensive institutions must continue evolving their tactical practices by institutionalizing multi-sectoral partnerships with civilian authorities and deploying sustainable, climate-resilient engineering logistics. Crucially, this study establishes that military climate adaptation does not exist in a vacuum; its execution heavily impacts broader societal resilience, particularly within public healthcare systems during humanitarian assistance and disaster relief (HADR) missions.

Moving forward, this research presents actionable recommendations for both policymakers and defense strategists. Practically, there is an urgent need to formulate standardized, cross-sectoral communication protocols that synchronize military logistics with civilian public health ministries during environmental emergencies, thereby reducing operational friction and resource duplication. Academically and methodologically, this study opens vital pathways for future inquiry. Future scholarship should prioritize longitudinal, empirical case studies that quantify the long-term cost-effectiveness of climate-resilient military infrastructure. Furthermore, deeper investigation is highly warranted into the socio-political dimensions of securitized climate adaptation, ensuring that future defense-led environmental initiatives balance national security imperatives with environmental justice and local community rights in an increasingly unpredictable world.

Disclosure Statements:

- **Ethical approval and consent to participate:** Participation in the research was approved in accordance with the journal's guidelines.
- **Availability of data and materials:** All data and materials are available upon request.
- **Authors' contributions:** The authors are responsible for all aspects of the research, including content, analysis, methodology, and the final review.
- **Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest related to the design, submission, or evaluation of this research.
- **Funding:** This research received no specific funding.
- **Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to Journal of Scientific Conferences (JSC) for its support and guidance (https://sdasmart.org/jsconf/).

References

- **Brosig, M. (2020).** Climate Change and Security: A Framework for Defense Adaptation. *International Security Spectator*, 55(3), 89-105. doi.org
- **Center for Climate and Security (CCS). (2022).** *The Threat Multiplier: Assessing Geopolitical Vulnerabilities in Emerging Climate Contexts.* Council on Strategic Risks.
- **Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018).** *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- **DeLoughrey, E. (2019).** *Allegories of the Anthropocene: Militarism and Climate Change.* Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1215/9781478005582
- **Hickel, J., Dorninger, C., Wieland, H., & Suwandi, I. (2022).** Imperialist appropriation in the world economy: Drain from the Global South through unequal exchange, 1990–2015. *Global Environmental Change*, 73, 102467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2022.102467
- **Jorgenson, A. K., Fiske, S. J., Hubacek, K., Li, J., McGovern, T., Rick, T. C., & Schor, J. B. (2018).** Social science perspectives on drivers of and responses to global climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 10(1), e554. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.554
- **Koubi, V. (2019).** Climate Change and Conflict. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 22(1), 343-360. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-050317-070830
- **NATO. (2021).** *NATO Climate Change and Security Action Plan.* NATO Emerging Security Challenges Division. Official Policy Document.
- **Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019).** *Research Methods for Business Students* (8th ed.). Pearson Education.
- **Sovacool, B. K., Turnheim, B., Hook, A., Brock, A., & Martiskainen, M. (2020).** Dispossessed by decarbonisation: Reducing vulnerability, injustice, and inequality in the lived experience of low-carbon pathways. *World Development*, 137, 105116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105116
- **Vergun, D. (2021).** *Defense Department Integrating Climate Change into Strategic Logistics and Public Health Response.* U.S. Department of Defense Official Strategy Reports, 12(4), 14-22.